Unordered feature tracking made fast and easy

PIERRE MOULON^{1,2}, PASCAL MONASSE¹

¹Université Paris-Est, LIGM (UMR CNRS), Center for Visual Computing, ENPC, ²Mikros Image. pmo@mikrosimage.eu



MOTIVATION & CONTRIBUTION

Considering n pairwise feature correspondences we want sets of corresponding matching features across multiple images, i.e., *tracks*.



Track identification in a set of images is an important task in computer vision. It allows solving geometry-related problems like:

- region tracking, match-moving, video stabilization,
- image-stitching,
- structure from motion [4] and odometry.

We present an efficient algorithm to fuse two-view correspondences into multi-view consistent tracks that is:

• simple,

CVMP 2012 @

- efficient: lower computational complexity than [2, 3],
- reliable: able to identify all tracks, contrary to [2, 3].

THE TRACK COMPUTATION PROBLEM

The problem of feature point tracking consists in linking multi-view correspondences from pairwise matches that share a common point. It consists of a correspondence fusion problem.

Detected Features: Putatives matches: Geometric matches: Tracks: Tracks of length 2

AVAILABLE SOLUTIONS

Available algorithms do not solve the problem in an optimal way:				
Bundler [2] ETH-V3D [3]				
• requires a start image index	• requires many sorting operations			
• depends on image pair order	 heavy memory consumption 			
Neither approach is able to identify all valid tracks.				

CONCLUSION

Our approach is quasi-linear.

- It does not depend on the image pair order.
- Open source implementation is available in the openMVG library [4].

REFERENCES

- [1] B. A. Galler and M. J. Fischer. An improved equivalence algorithm. In ACM, V7, I5, May 1964.
- [2] N. Snavely, S. M. Seitz and R. Szeliski. Photo tourism: exploring photo collections in 3D. In SIGGRAPH 2006.
- [3] C. Zach. ETH-V3D Structure-and-Motion software. © 2010-2011. ETH Zurich.
- [4] P. Moulon, P. Monasse and R. Marlet. Adaptive Structure from Motion with a contrario model estimation. In ACCV 2012.

THE UNION-FIND SOLUTION

- We see the correspondence fusion problem as set unions,
- It can be solve efficiently using the Union-Find [1] algorithm.

Consider a graph *G*:

- vertices: features {*ImageId*, *FeatureId*} = sets,
- edges: correspondences { *LeftFeature*, *RigthFeature*} = sets union,
- \Rightarrow *Tracks* are connected components of \mathcal{G} .

Our algorithm enumerates edges (pairwise correspondences) and fuses the sets containing the edges' endpoints (features).

Algorithm 1 Unordered feature tracking					
\overline{Inp}	Input: list of pairwise correspondences				
Out	Output: tracks				
cr	create a singleton for each feature				
fc	for each pairwise match \mathbf{do}				
join(leftMatch, rightMatch)					
end for					
return each connected set as a track					
0.	2 5		•11		
1.					
	3 6		•(10)		
01	234 56	0 8 9	10 11		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	join(8,11) (8) (9) join(9,10) (1) (1)	join(2,8) (2) (5) (8)		
			(1)		
tracks 9 0 4 7 1 3 6 0 2 5 8 1					
The face of the distance of the second distance of the second					

- Union-Find algorithm allows efficient:
 - fusion: \Rightarrow join(a,b) = union(find(a), find(b)),
 - connected set search and traversal.

COMPLEXITY & PERFORMANCE

Experimental results on small to large datasets (10 to 2,600 images), largest dataset with more than 41 million pairwise matches, yielding a million tracks.

	Bundler [2]	ETH-V3D [3]	Our approach
Complexity	$O(n\log n)$	$O(n\log n)$	$O(n\alpha(n))$
Execution time ratio	1.57	2.09	1.00
Track count	78%	90%	100%
Lines of code (C++)	≈ 200	≈ 150	pprox 100

 $\alpha(.)$ is the inverse Ackermann function, quasi-constant in practice.

