Support vector machines



Guillaume Obozinski

Ecole des Ponts - ParisTech



SOCN course 2014

Outline



Constrained optimization, Lagrangian duality and KKT



Outline



2 Support vector machines

Constrained optimization, Lagrangian duality and KKT

Review: Constrained optimization

Optimization problem in canonical form

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min\limits_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{X}} & f(\mathbf{x}) \\ \text{s.t.} & h_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad i \in \llbracket 1,n \rrbracket \\ & g_j(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, \quad j \in \llbracket 1,m \rrbracket \end{array}$$

with

- $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^{p}$.
- f, g_j functions,
- *h_i* affine functions.

Review: Constrained optimization

Optimization problem in canonical form

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{X}} \quad f(\mathbf{x}) & \text{with} \\ \text{s.t. } h_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket & \bullet \ \mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^p. \\ g_j(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, \quad j \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket & \bullet \ f, g_j \text{ functions,} \end{array}$$

• *h_i* affine functions.

The problem is convex if f, g_j and \mathcal{X} are convex (w.l.o.g $\mathring{\mathcal{X}} \neq \varnothing$).

Review: Constrained optimization

Optimization problem in canonical form

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{X}} & f(\mathbf{x}) & \text{with} \\ \text{s.t. } h_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket & \bullet \ \mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^p. \\ g_j(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, \quad j \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket & \bullet \ f, g_j \text{ functions,} \\ \bullet \ h_i \text{ affine functions.} \end{array}$$

The problem is convex if f, g_j and \mathcal{X} are convex (w.l.o.g $\mathring{\mathcal{X}} \neq \emptyset$).

Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i h_i(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu_j g_j(\mathbf{x})$$

Lagrangian duality

Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i h_i(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu_j g_j(\mathbf{x})$$

æ

▶ < ≣ ▶

Lagrangian duality

Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i h_i(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu_j g_j(\mathbf{x})$$

Primal vs Dual problem

$$p^{*} = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}} \max_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}_{+}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$$
(P)
$$d^{*} = \max_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}_{+}} \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$$
(D)

∃ >

$$\max_{y} \min_{x} f(x, y) \le \min_{x} \max_{y} f(x, y)$$

æ

< ≣⇒

 $f(x,y) \leq \max_{y} f(x,y)$

э

글 > - < 글 >

$$\min_{x} f(x,y) \leq \min_{x} \max_{y} f(x,y)$$

▲圖▶ ▲屋▶ ▲屋▶

æ

$$\max_{y} \min_{x} f(x, y) \le \min_{x} \max_{y} f(x, y)$$

æ

< ≣⇒

$$\max_{y} \min_{x} f(x, y) \le \min_{x} \max_{y} f(x, y)$$

Weak duality

In general, we have $d^* \leq p^*$. This is called weak duality.

$$\max_{y} \min_{x} f(x, y) \leq \min_{x} \max_{y} f(x, y)$$

Weak duality

In general, we have $d^* \leq p^*$. This is called weak duality.

Strong duality

In some cases, we have strong duality:

•
$$d^* = p^*$$

• Solutions to (P) and (D) are the same

Slater's qualification condition is a condition on the constraints that guarantees that strong duality holds.

Consider an optimization problem in canonical form.

Slater's qualification condition is a condition on the constraints that guarantees that strong duality holds.

Consider an optimization problem in canonical form.

Definition: Slater's condition (strong form)

There exists $\mathbf{x} \in \mathring{\mathcal{X}}$ such that $h(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ and $g(\mathbf{x}) < 0$ entrywise.

Slater's qualification condition is a condition on the constraints that guarantees that strong duality holds.

Consider an optimization problem in canonical form.

Definition: Slater's condition (strong form)

There exists $\mathbf{x} \in \mathring{\mathcal{X}}$ such that $h(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ and $g(\mathbf{x}) < 0$ entrywise.

Definition: Slater's condition (weak form)

There exists $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $h(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ and $g(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0$ entrywise, but with $g_i(\mathbf{x}) < 0$ if g_i is not affine.

Slater's qualification condition is a condition on the constraints that guarantees that strong duality holds.

Consider an optimization problem in canonical form.

Definition: Slater's condition (strong form)

There exists $\mathbf{x} \in \mathring{\mathcal{X}}$ such that $h(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ and $g(\mathbf{x}) < 0$ entrywise.

Definition: Slater's condition (weak form)

There exists $\mathbf{x} \in \mathring{\mathcal{X}}$ such that $h(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ and $g(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0$ entrywise, but with $g_i(\mathbf{x}) < 0$ if g_i is not affine.

Slater's conditions requires that there exists a feasible point which is strictly feasible for all non-affine constraints.

Karush-Kuhn-Tucker conditions

Theorem

For a convex problem defined by differentiable functions f, h_i, g_j , x is an optimal solution if and only if there exists (λ, μ) such that the KKT conditions are satisfied.

KKT conditions

 $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \nabla h_i(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu_j \nabla g_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \quad \text{(Lagrangian stationarity)}$ $h(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad g(\mathbf{x}) \le 0 \qquad \text{(primal feasibility)}$ $\mu_j \ge 0 \qquad \text{(dual feasibility)}$ $\forall j \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket, \quad \mu_i \, g_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \quad \text{(complementary slackness)}$

Outline

Constrained optimization, Lagrangian duality and KKT



Support vector machines

• Binary classification problem with $y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$.

- ∢ ⊒ →

- Binary classification problem with $y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$.
- Margin $\frac{1}{\|w\|}$

글▶ ★ 글▶

- Binary classification problem with $y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$.
- Margin $\frac{1}{\|w\|}$
- Constraints:
 - for $y_i = 1$ require $\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b \ge 1$
 - for $y_i = -1$ require $\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_i + b \leq -1$

- Binary classification problem with $y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$.
- Margin $\frac{1}{\|w\|}$
- Constraints:
 - for $y_i = 1$ require $\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b \ge 1$
 - for $y_i = -1$ require $\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b \le -1$

This leads to

$$\boxed{\min \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \forall i, \quad y_i \left(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b\right) \geq 1}$$

- Binary classification problem with $y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$.
- Margin $\frac{1}{\|w\|}$
- Constraints:
 - for $y_i = 1$ require $\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b \ge 1$
 - for $y_i = -1$ require $\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b \leq -1$

This leads to

$$\boxed{\min \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \forall i, \quad y_i \left(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b\right) \geq 1}$$

- quadratic program (not a so useful property nowadays)
- unfeasible if the data is not separable



Soft margin SVM

- Authorize some points to be on the wrong side of the margin
- Penalize by a cost proportional to the distance to the margin
- Introduce some slack variables ξ_i measuring the violation for each datapoint.

Soft margin SVM

- Authorize some points to be on the wrong side of the margin
- Penalize by a cost proportional to the distance to the margin
- Introduce some slack variables ξ_i measuring the violation for each datapoint.

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\xi}} & \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \\ \text{s.t.} & \forall i, \begin{cases} y_i \left(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b \right) \geq 1 - \xi_i \\ \xi_i \geq 0 \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Lagrangian of the SVM $\mathcal{L}(w, \xi, \alpha, \nu)$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (1 - \xi_i - y_i (\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b)) - \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i \xi_i$$

э

글 > - < 글 >

Lagrangian of the SVM $\mathcal{L}(w, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\nu})$



Lagrangian of the SVM $\mathcal{L}(w, \xi, \alpha, \nu)$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^{2} + C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} (1 - \xi_{i} - y_{i} (\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_{i} + b)) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nu_{i} \xi_{i}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^{2} - \mathbf{w}^{\top} (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} y_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} (C - \alpha_{i} - \nu_{i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} y_{i} b + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}$$

Stationarity of the Lagrangian

$$abla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{w} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i \mathbf{x}_i, \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \xi_i} = \mathcal{C} - \alpha_i - \nu_i \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial b} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i.$$

Lagrangian of the SVM $\mathcal{L}(w, \xi, \alpha, \nu)$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^{2} + C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} (1 - \xi_{i} - y_{i} (\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_{i} + b)) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nu_{i} \xi_{i}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^{2} - \mathbf{w}^{\top} (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} y_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} (C - \alpha_{i} - \nu_{i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} y_{i} b + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}$$

Stationarity of the Lagrangian

$$abla_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{w} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i \mathbf{x}_i, \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \xi_i} = C - \alpha_i - \nu_i \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial b} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i.$$

So that $\nabla \mathcal{L}=0$ leads to

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i \mathbf{x}_i, \quad 0 \le \alpha_i \le C \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i = 0.$$

Dual of the SVM

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\alpha} &- \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} y_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i} \right\|^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \\ \text{s.t.} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} y_{i} = 0, \qquad \forall i, \ 0 \leq \alpha_{i} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} \max_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} & -\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^\top \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{y}} \boldsymbol{\alpha} + \boldsymbol{\alpha}^\top \mathbf{1} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \boldsymbol{\alpha}^\top \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}, \qquad \mathbf{0} \leq \boldsymbol{\alpha} \leq C. \end{split}$$

with

- $\mathbf{y}^{\top} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ the vector of labels
- $\bullet~D_y = \mathsf{Diag}(y)$ a diagonal matrix with the label
- **K** the Gram matrix with $\mathbf{K}_{ij} = \mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{x}_j$

Dual of the SVM

$$\max_{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \le i,j \le n} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{x}_j + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$$

s.t.
$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i = 0, \qquad \forall i, \ 0 \le \alpha_i \le C.$$

æ

·≣ ► < ≣ ►
Dual of the SVM

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\alpha} &-\frac{1}{2} \Big\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} y_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i} \Big\|^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \\ \text{s.t.} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} y_{i} = 0, \qquad \forall i, \ 0 \leq \alpha_{i} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} \max_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} & -\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^\top \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{y}} \boldsymbol{\alpha} + \boldsymbol{\alpha}^\top \mathbf{1} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \boldsymbol{\alpha}^\top \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}, \qquad \mathbf{0} \leq \boldsymbol{\alpha} \leq C. \end{split}$$

with

- $\mathbf{y}^{\top} = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ the vector of labels
- $\bullet~D_y = \mathsf{Diag}(y)$ a diagonal matrix with the label
- **K** the Gram matrix with $\mathbf{K}_{ij} = \mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{x}_j$

æ

- ∢ ⊒ →

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i \mathbf{x}_i \quad (LS)$$
$$\alpha_i + \nu_i = C \quad (LS)$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i = 0 \quad (LS)$$
$$1 - \xi_i - y_i f(\mathbf{x}_i) \ge 0 \quad (PF)$$
$$\xi_i \ge 0 \quad (PF)$$
$$\alpha_i \ge 0 \quad (DF)$$
$$\nu_i \ge 0 \quad (DF)$$
$$\alpha_i (1 - \xi_i - y_i f(\mathbf{x}_i)) = 0 \quad (CS)$$
$$\nu_i \xi_i = 0 \quad (CS)$$

with
$$f(\mathbf{x}_i) = \mathbf{w}^\top x_i + b$$

æ

-∢ ≣ →

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} y_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i} \quad (LS)$$

$$\alpha_{i} + \nu_{i} = C \quad (LS)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} y_{i} = 0 \quad (LS)$$

$$1 - \xi_{i} - y_{i} f(\mathbf{x}_{i}) \ge 0 \quad (PF)$$

$$\xi_{i} \ge 0 \quad (PF)$$

$$\alpha_{i} \ge 0 \quad (DF)$$

$$\nu_{i} \ge 0 \quad (DF)$$

$$\nu_{i} \ge 0 \quad (CS)$$

$$\nu_{i} \xi_{i} = 0 \quad (CS)$$

with
$$f(\mathbf{x}_i) = \mathbf{w}^\top x_i + b$$

æ

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i \mathbf{x}_i \quad (LS)$$
$$\alpha_i + \nu_i = C \quad (LS)$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i = 0 \quad (LS)$$
$$1 - \xi_i - y_i f(\mathbf{x}_i) \ge 0 \quad (PF)$$
$$\xi_i \ge 0 \quad (PF)$$
$$\alpha_i \ge 0 \quad (DF)$$
$$\nu_i \ge 0 \quad (DF)$$
$$\alpha_i (1 - \xi_i - y_i f(\mathbf{x}_i)) = 0 \quad (CS)$$
$$\nu_i \xi_i = 0 \quad (CS)$$

Let
•
$$I = \{i \mid \xi_i > 0\}$$

• $M = \{i \mid y_i f(\mathbf{x}_i) = 1\}$

with
$$f(\mathbf{x}_i) = \mathbf{w}^\top x_i + b$$

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i \mathbf{x}_i \quad (LS)$$
$$\alpha_i + \nu_i = C \quad (LS)$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i = 0 \quad (LS)$$
$$1 - \xi_i - y_i f(\mathbf{x}_i) \ge 0 \quad (PF)$$
$$\xi_i \ge 0 \quad (PF)$$
$$\alpha_i \ge 0 \quad (DF)$$
$$\nu_i \ge 0 \quad (DF)$$
$$\alpha_i (1 - \xi_i - y_i f(\mathbf{x}_i)) = 0 \quad (CS)$$
$$\nu_i \xi_i = 0 \quad (CS)$$

Let
•
$$I = \{i \mid \xi_i > 0\}$$

• $M = \{i \mid y_i f(\mathbf{x}_i) = 1\}$
• $S = \{i \mid \alpha_i \neq 0\}$

L

with
$$f(\mathbf{x}_i) = \mathbf{w}^\top x_i + b$$

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i \mathbf{x}_i \quad (LS)$$
$$\alpha_i + \nu_i = C \quad (LS)$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i = 0 \quad (LS)$$
$$1 - \xi_i - y_i f(\mathbf{x}_i) \ge 0 \quad (PF)$$
$$\xi_i \ge 0 \quad (PF)$$
$$\alpha_i \ge 0 \quad (DF)$$
$$\nu_i \ge 0 \quad (DF)$$
$$\alpha_i (1 - \xi_i - y_i f(\mathbf{x}_i)) = 0 \quad (CS)$$
$$\nu_i \xi_i = 0 \quad (CS)$$

Let
•
$$I = \{i \mid \xi_i > 0\}$$

• $M = \{i \mid y_i f(\mathbf{x}_i) = 1\}$
• $S = \{i \mid \alpha_i \neq 0\}$
• $W = (I \cup M)^c$

with
$$f(\mathbf{x}_i) = \mathbf{w}^\top x_i + b$$

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i \mathbf{x}_i \quad (LS)$$
$$\alpha_i + \nu_i = C \quad (LS)$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i = 0 \quad (LS)$$
$$1 - \xi_i - y_i f(\mathbf{x}_i) \ge 0 \quad (PF)$$
$$\xi_i \ge 0 \quad (PF)$$
$$\alpha_i \ge 0 \quad (DF)$$
$$\nu_i \ge 0 \quad (DF)$$
$$\alpha_i (1 - \xi_i - y_i f(\mathbf{x}_i)) = 0 \quad (CS)$$
$$\nu_i \xi_i = 0 \quad (CS)$$

Let
•
$$I = \{i \mid \xi_i > 0\}$$

• $M = \{i \mid y_i f(\mathbf{x}_i) = 1\}$
• $S = \{i \mid \alpha_i \neq 0\}$
• $W = (I \cup M)^c$

with
$$f(\mathbf{x}_i) = \mathbf{w}^\top x_i + b$$

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} y_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i} \quad (LS)$$

$$\alpha_{i} + \nu_{i} = C \quad (LS)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} y_{i} = 0 \quad (LS)$$

$$1 - \xi_{i} - y_{i} f(\mathbf{x}_{i}) \ge 0 \quad (PF)$$

$$\xi_{i} \ge 0 \quad (PF)$$

$$\alpha_{i} \ge 0 \quad (DF)$$

$$\nu_{i} \ge 0 \quad (DF)$$

$$\nu_{i} \xi_{i} = 0 \quad (CS)$$

$$\nu_{i} \xi_{i} = 0 \quad (CS)$$

with
$$f(\mathbf{x}_i) = \mathbf{w}^\top x_i + b$$

注入 注

 $= C \Rightarrow i \in S$

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} y_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i} \quad (LS)$$

$$\alpha_{i} + \nu_{i} = C \quad (LS)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} y_{i} = 0 \quad (LS)$$

$$1 - \xi_{i} - y_{i} f(\mathbf{x}_{i}) \ge 0 \quad (PF)$$

$$\xi_{i} \ge 0 \quad (PF)$$

$$\alpha_{i} (1 - \xi_{i} - y_{i} f(\mathbf{x}_{i})) = 0 \quad (CS)$$

$$\nu_{i} \xi_{i} = 0 \quad (CS)$$

$$\mathbf{w} = (I \cup M)^{c}$$

$$i \in I \Rightarrow \nu_{i} = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha_{i} = C \Rightarrow i \in S$$

$$i \in W \Rightarrow \alpha_{i} = 0 \Leftrightarrow i \notin S$$
We have $0 \le \alpha_{i} \le C$.

with
$$f(\mathbf{x}_i) = \mathbf{w}^\top x_i + b$$

æ

- ∢ ≣ ▶

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i \mathbf{x}_i \quad (LS)$$
$$\alpha_i + \nu_i = C \quad (LS)$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i = 0 \quad (LS)$$
$$1 - \xi_i - y_i f(\mathbf{x}_i) \ge 0 \quad (PF)$$
$$\xi_i \ge 0 \quad (PF)$$
$$\alpha_i \ge 0 \quad (DF)$$
$$\nu_i \ge 0 \quad (DF)$$
$$(1 - \xi_i - y_i f(\mathbf{x}_i)) = 0 \quad (CS)$$
$$\nu_i \xi_i = 0 \quad (CS)$$

 α_i

Let
•
$$I = \{i \mid \xi_i > 0\}$$

• $M = \{i \mid y_i f(\mathbf{x}_i) = 1\}$
• $S = \{i \mid \alpha_i \neq 0\}$
• $W = (I \cup M)^c$
 $i \in I \Rightarrow \nu_i = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha_i = C \Rightarrow i \in S$
 $i \in W \Rightarrow \alpha_i = 0 \Leftrightarrow i \notin S$
We have $0 \le \alpha_i \le C$.

The set S of support vectors is therefore composed of some points on the margin and all incorrectly placed points.

• Optimization problem formulated as a strongly convex QP

- Optimization problem formulated as a strongly convex QP
- whose dual is also a QP

- Optimization problem formulated as a strongly convex QP
- whose dual is also a QP
- The support vectors are the points that have a non zero optimal weight α_i

- Optimization problem formulated as a strongly convex QP
- whose dual is also a QP
- The support vectors are the points that have a non zero optimal weight α_i
- The optimal solution is w^{*} = ∑_{i∈S} α^{*}_iy_ix_i, i.e. a weighted combination of the support vectors

- Optimization problem formulated as a strongly convex QP
- whose dual is also a QP
- The support vectors are the points that have a non zero optimal weight α_i
- The optimal solution is w^{*} = ∑_{i∈S} α^{*}_iy_ix_i, i.e. a weighted combination of the support vectors
- The solution does not depend on the well-classified points
- \rightarrow Leads to working set strategies.
- $\rightarrow~$ Computational gain

- Optimization problem formulated as a strongly convex QP
- whose dual is also a QP
- The support vectors are the points that have a non zero optimal weight α_i
- The optimal solution is $\mathbf{w}^* = \sum_{i \in S} \alpha_i^* y_i \mathbf{x}_i$, i.e. a weighted combination of the support vectors
- The solution does not depend on the well-classified points
- $\rightarrow~$ Leads to working set strategies.
- $\rightarrow~$ Computational gain

Remarks:

• the dual solution α^* is not necessarily unique \Rightarrow there might be several possible sets of support vectors.

- Optimization problem formulated as a strongly convex QP
- whose dual is also a QP
- The support vectors are the points that have a non zero optimal weight α_i
- The optimal solution is w^{*} = ∑_{i∈S} α^{*}_iy_ix_i, i.e. a weighted combination of the support vectors
- The solution does not depend on the well-classified points
- $\rightarrow~$ Leads to working set strategies.
- $\rightarrow~$ Computational gain

Remarks:

- the dual solution α^* is not necessarily unique \Rightarrow there might be several possible sets of support vectors.
- e How do we determine b?

- Optimization problem formulated as a strongly convex QP
- whose dual is also a QP
- The support vectors are the points that have a non zero optimal weight α_i
- The optimal solution is w^{*} = ∑_{i∈S} α^{*}_iy_ix_i, i.e. a weighted combination of the support vectors
- The solution does not depend on the well-classified points
- $\rightarrow~$ Leads to working set strategies.
- $\rightarrow~$ Computational gain

Remarks:

- the dual solution α^* is not necessarily unique \Rightarrow there might be several possible sets of support vectors.
- e How do we determine b?

Representer property for the SVM

$$f^{*}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^{*\top}\mathbf{x} + b$$

= $\sum_{i \in S} \alpha_{i}^{*}y_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + b$
= $\sum_{i \in S} \alpha_{i}^{*}y_{i} k(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}) + b$

with $k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{x}'$.

Representer property for the SVM

$$f^{*}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^{*\top}\mathbf{x} + b$$

= $\sum_{i \in S} \alpha_{i}^{*}y_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + b$
= $\sum_{i \in S} \alpha_{i}^{*}y_{i} k(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}) + b$

with $k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{x}'$.

• Eventually, this whole formulation depends only on the dot product between points

Representer property for the SVM

$$F^{*}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^{*\top}\mathbf{x} + b$$

= $\sum_{i \in S} \alpha_{i}^{*}y_{i}\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + b$
= $\sum_{i \in S} \alpha_{i}^{*}y_{i}k(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}) + b$

with $k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{x}'$.

- Eventually, this whole formulation depends only on the dot product between points
- \rightarrow Can we use another dot product than the one associated to the usual Euclidean distance in \mathbb{R}^{p} ?

Hinge loss interpretation of the SVM

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\xi}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i$$
s.t. $\forall i, \begin{cases} y_i (\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b) \ge 1 - \xi_i \\ \xi_i \ge 0 \end{cases}$

Hinge loss interpretation of the SVM

$$\min_{\mathbf{w},\boldsymbol{\xi}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i$$
s.t. $\forall i, \begin{cases} \xi_i \ge 1 - y_i (\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b) \\ \xi_i \ge 0 \end{cases}$

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \max(1 - y_i (\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b), 0)$$

Define the hinge loss $\ell(a, y) = (1 - ya)_+$ with $(u)_+ = \max(u, 0)$.

3

Hinge loss interpretation of the SVM

.....

$$\min_{\mathbf{w},\boldsymbol{\xi}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i$$
s.t. $\forall i, \begin{cases} y_i (\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b) \ge 1 - \xi_i \\ \xi_i \ge 0 \end{cases}$

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \max(1 - y_i (\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b), 0)$$

Define the hinge loss $\ell(a, y) = (1 - ya)_+$ with $(u)_+ = \max(u, 0)$. Our problem is now of the form

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(f(\mathbf{x}_i), y_i) + \frac{1}{2C} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 \quad \text{with} \quad f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x} + b.$$

Hinge loss vs other losses



The hinge loss is the "least convex" loss which upper bounds the 0-1 loss and equals 0 for large scores.

Quadratic hinge loss: $\ell(a, y) = (1 - ya)_+^2$.

Quadratic hinge loss: $\ell(a, y) = (1 - ya)_+^2$.

Quadratic SVM

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \max \left(1 - y_i \left(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b \right), 0 \right)^2$$

Quadratic hinge loss: $\ell(a, y) = (1 - ya)_+^2$.

Quadratic SVM

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \max \left(1 - y_i \left(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b \right), 0 \right)^2$$

$$\min_{\mathbf{w},\boldsymbol{\xi}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2$$
s.t. $\forall i, \begin{cases} y_i (\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b) \ge 1 - \xi_i \\ \xi_i \ge 0 \end{cases}$

Quadratic hinge loss: $\ell(a, y) = (1 - ya)_+^2$.

Quadratic SVM

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \max(1 - y_i (\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b), 0)^2$$

$$\min_{\mathbf{w},\boldsymbol{\xi}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2$$
s.t. $\forall i, \begin{cases} y_i (\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b) \ge 1 - \xi_i \\ \xi_i \ge 0 \end{cases}$

- $\rightarrow~$ Penalizes more strongly misclassified points
- ightarrow Less robust to outliers
- $\rightarrow~$ Tends to be less sparse
- \rightarrow Score in [0,1] for *n* large, interpretable as a probability.

注入 注

Learn a binary classifier from (x_i, y_i) pairs with

$$\mathcal{P} = \{i \mid y_i = 1\}$$
 $\mathcal{N} = \{i \mid y_i = -1\},$

 $n_+ = |\mathcal{P}|, \quad n_- = |\mathcal{N}|$ and with $n_+ \ll n_-.$

글 🕨 🖈 글 🕨

Learn a binary classifier from (x_i, y_i) pairs with

$$\mathcal{P} = \{i \mid y_i = 1\}$$
 $\mathcal{N} = \{i \mid y_i = -1\},$

 $n_+ = |\mathcal{P}|, \quad n_- = |\mathcal{N}|$ and with $n_+ \ll n_-.$

Problem: to minimize the number of mistakes the classifier learnt might classify all points as negatives.

Learn a binary classifier from (x_i, y_i) pairs with

$$\mathcal{P} = \{i \mid y_i = 1\}$$
 $\mathcal{N} = \{i \mid y_i = -1\},$

 $n_+ = |\mathcal{P}|, \quad n_- = |\mathcal{N}|$ and with $n_+ \ll n_-.$

Problem: to minimize the number of mistakes the classifier learnt might classify all points as negatives.

Some ways to address the issue

• Subsample the negatives, and learn an ensemble of classifiers.

Learn a binary classifier from (x_i, y_i) pairs with

$$\mathcal{P} = \{i \mid y_i = 1\}$$
 $\mathcal{N} = \{i \mid y_i = -1\},$

 $n_+ = |\mathcal{P}|, \quad n_- = |\mathcal{N}|$ and with $n_+ \ll n_-.$

Problem: to minimize the number of mistakes the classifier learnt might classify all points as negatives.

Some ways to address the issue

- Subsample the negatives, and learn an ensemble of classifiers.
- Introduce different costs for the positives and negatives

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{R}^p} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + C_+ \sum_{i\in\mathcal{P}} \xi_i + C_- \sum_{i\in\mathcal{N}} \xi_i$$

s.t. $\forall i, \quad y_i (\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b) \ge 1 - \xi_i$

Learn a binary classifier from (x_i, y_i) pairs with

$$\mathcal{P} = \{i \mid y_i = 1\}$$
 $\mathcal{N} = \{i \mid y_i = -1\},$

 $n_+ = |\mathcal{P}|, \quad n_- = |\mathcal{N}|$ and with $n_+ \ll n_-.$

Problem: to minimize the number of mistakes the classifier learnt might classify all points as negatives.

Some ways to address the issue

- Subsample the negatives, and learn an ensemble of classifiers.
- Introduce different costs for the positives and negatives

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p} \quad \frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{w} \|_2^2 + C_+ \sum_{i \in \mathcal{P}} \xi_i + C_- \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \xi_i$$
s.t. $\forall i, \quad y_i \left(\boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{x}_i + b \right) \ge 1 - \xi_i$

• Naive choice: $C_+ = C/n_+$ and $C_- = C/n_-$

Is suboptimal in theory and in practice !!

 \rightarrow Better to search for the optimal hyperparameter pair (C_+, C_+) .