Vision 3D artificielle Session 2: Essential and fundamental matrices, their computation, RANSAC algorithm

Pascal Monasse pascal.monasse@enpc.fr

IMAGINE, École des Ponts ParisTech





This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Some useful rules of vector calculus

Essential and fundamental matrices

Singular Value Decomposition

Computation of E and F

Some useful rules of vector calculus

Essential and fundamental matrices

Singular Value Decomposition

Computation of E and F

Compact matrix multiplication formulas

Block matrix multiplication

$$A \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} AB_1 & AB_2 \end{pmatrix} A \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & \cdots & B_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} AB_1 & \cdots & AB_n \end{pmatrix}$$

. .

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{pmatrix} B = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 B \\ A_2 B \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} A_1^{\top} \\ \vdots \\ A_m^{\top} \end{pmatrix} B = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^{\top} B \\ \vdots \\ A_m^{\top} B \end{pmatrix}$$

Both matrices split into blocks

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{pmatrix} = A_1 B_1 + A_2 B_2$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & \cdots & A_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_1^\top \\ \vdots \\ B_k^\top \end{pmatrix} = A_1 B_1^\top + \cdots + A_k B_k^\top$$

Vector product

Definition

$$\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b} = [\mathbf{a}]_{\times} \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} yz' - zy' \\ zx' - xz' \\ xy' - yx' \end{pmatrix}$$
$$[\mathbf{a}]_{\times} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -z & y \\ z & 0 & -x \\ -y & x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Properties: bilinear, antisymmetric.
- Link with determinant

$$\mathbf{a}^{\top}(\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c}) = |\mathbf{a} \ \mathbf{b} \ \mathbf{c}|$$

Composition

$$(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) \times \mathbf{c} = (\mathbf{a}^{\top} \mathbf{c})\mathbf{b} - (\mathbf{b}^{\top} \mathbf{c})\mathbf{a}$$

Composition with isomorphism M $(M\mathbf{a}) \times (M\mathbf{b}) = |M| M^{-\top} (\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) \quad [M\mathbf{a}]_{\times} = |M| M^{-\top} [\mathbf{a}]_{\times} M^{-1}$

Some useful rules of vector calculus


Essential and fundamental matrices

Singular Value Decomposition

Computation of E and F

Triangulation

Fundamental principle of stereo vision



- "Rectified" setup: common image plane, parallel camera motion
 - In the coordinate frame linked to the camera:

$$z = \frac{fB}{d}$$


 f=focal length, B=baseline (distance between optical centers), d=disparity

Goal

Given two rectified images, point correspondences and computation of their apparent shift (disparity) yield relative depth of the scene.

Epipolar constraints

Rays from matching points must intersect in space



The vectors Cx, C'x' and T are coplanar. We write it in camera 1 coordinate frame: x, Rx' and T coplanar,

$$\begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{x} & T & R\mathbf{x}' \end{vmatrix} = \mathbf{0},$$

which we can write:

$$\mathbf{x}^{ op}(T imes R\mathbf{x}') = 0.$$

We note [T]_×x = T × x and we get the equation x[⊤]Ex' = 0 with E = [T]_×R (Longuet-Higgins, Nature, 1981)

Epipolar constraints

- E is the essential matrix but deals with points expressed in camera coordinate frame.
- Converting to pixel coordinates requires multiplying by the inverse of camera calibration matrix K: x_{cam} = K⁻¹x_{image}
- ▶ We can rewrite the epipolar constraint as:

$$\mathbf{x}^{\top} F \mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{0}$$
 with $F = K^{-\top} E K'^{-1} = K^{-\top} [T]_{\times} R K'^{-1}$

(Luong & Faugeras, IJCV, 1996)

- F is the fundamental matrix. The progress is important: we can constrain the match without calibrating the cameras!
- It can be easily derived formally, by expressing everything in camera 2 coordinate frame:


$$\lambda \mathbf{x} = K(R\mathbf{X} + T) \quad \lambda' \mathbf{x}' = K'\mathbf{X}$$

We remove the 5 unknowns **X**, λ and λ' from the system

 $\lambda \mathcal{K}^{-1} \mathbf{x} = \lambda' \mathcal{R} \mathcal{K}'^{-1} \mathbf{x}' + \mathcal{T} \Rightarrow \lambda \mathcal{T} \times (\mathcal{K}^{-1} \mathbf{x}) = \lambda' [\mathcal{T}]_{\times} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{K}'^{-1} \mathbf{x}'$

followed by scalar product with $K^{-1}\mathbf{x}$

Anatomy of the fundamental matrix



- Glossary:
 - e = KT satisfies e^TF = 0, that is the left epipole
 - ► e' = K'R⁻¹T satisfies Fe' = 0, that is the right epipole
 - Fx' is the epipolar line (in left image) associated to x'
 - ► F^Tx is the epipolar line (in right image) associated to x
- Observe that if T = 0 we get F = 0, that is, no constraints: without displacement of optical center, no 3D information.
- The constraint is important: it is enough to look for the match of point x along its associated epipolar line (1D search).

Theorem

A 3 \times 3 matrix is a fundamental matrix iff it has rank 2

Example



lmage 1



lmage 2

Some useful rules of vector calculus

Essential and fundamental matrices

Singular Value Decomposition

Computation of E and F

Singular Value Decomposition

Theorem (SVD)

Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix. We can decompose A as:

$$A = U\Sigma V^{\top} = \sum_{i=1}^{\min(m,n)} \sigma_i U_i V_i^{\top}$$

with Σ diagonal $m \times n$ matrix and $\sigma_i = \Sigma_{ii}$, $\sigma_1 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_{\min(m,n)} \geq 0$, $U \in O(m)$ and $V \in O(n)$.

- The rank of A is the number of non-zero σ_i
- An orthonormal basis of the kernel of A is composed of

$$\{V_i : \sigma_i = 0\} \cup \{V_i : i = m + 1 \dots n\}$$
 (if $m < n$)

Theorem (Thin/compact SVD)
If
$$m \ge n$$
, $U \ m \times n$ and If $m \le n$, $V \ n \times m$ and
 $A = U \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ & \ddots \\ & & \sigma_n \end{pmatrix} V^{\top} \qquad A = U \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ & \ddots \\ & & \sigma_m \end{pmatrix} V^{\top}$

Singular Value Decomposition

Proof:

- 1. Orthonormal diagonalization of $A^{\top}A = V\Sigma^{\top}\Sigma V^{\top}$ (spectral theorem)
- 2. Write $U_i = AV_i/\sigma_i$ if $\sigma_i \neq 0$.
- 3. Check that $U_i^{\top} U_j = \delta_{ij}$.
- 4. Complement the U_i by orthonormal vectors.
- 5. Check $A = U \Sigma V^{\top}$ by comparison on the basis formed by $\{V_i\}$.

Implementation: efficient and numerically stable algorithm but As much as we dislike the use of black-box routines, we need to ask you to accept this one, since it would take us too far afield to cover its necessary background material here. Numerical Recipes

► Algorithm: (i) Apply Givens rotations to reduce A to bidiagonal form. (ii) Implicit QR algorithm to A^TA (see Appendix). Complexity: O(m n²) (m ≥ n).

Some useful rules of vector calculus

Essential and fundamental matrices

Singular Value Decomposition

Computation of E and F

Computation of F

- The 8 point method (actually 8+) is the simplest as it is linear.
- We write the epipolar constraint for the 8 correspondences

$$\mathbf{x_i}^{ op} F \mathbf{x'_i} = \mathbf{0} \Leftrightarrow A_i^{ op} f = \mathbf{0} \text{ with } f = \begin{pmatrix} f_{11} & f_{12} & f_{13} & f_{21} & \dots & f_{33} \end{pmatrix}^{ op}$$

Each one is a linear equation in the unknown f.

- f has 8 independent parameters, since scale is indifferent.
- We impose the constraint ||f|| = 1:

$$\min_f \|Af\|^2$$
 subject to $\|f\|^2 = 1$ with $A = egin{pmatrix} A_1^ op\\ dots\\ A_8^ op \end{pmatrix}$

- Solution: f is an eigenvector of $A^{\top}A$ associated to its smallest eigenvalue (= V_9 in SVD of A).
- Constraint: to enforce rank 2 of F, we compute its SVD, put σ₃ = 0 and recompose (orthogonal projection on rank-2 matrices wrt scalar product < A, B >= Tr(A^TB))

Computation of F

- Enforcing constraint det F = 0 after minimization is not optimal.
- The 7 point method imposes that from the start.
- We get a linear system Af = 0 with A of size 7×9 .
- Let f_1 , f_2 be 2 free vectors of the kernel of A (from SVD).
- Look for a solution $f_1 + xf_2$ with det F = 0.
- det(F₁ + xF₂) = P(x) with P polynomial of degree 3, we get 1 or 3 solutions.
- The main interest is not computing F with fewer points (we have many more in general, which is anyway better for precision), but we have fewer chances of selecting false correspondences.
- By the way, how to ensure we did not incorporate bad correspondences in the equations?

Normalization

- The 8 point algorithm "as is" yields very imprecise results
- Hartley (PAMI, 1997): In Defense of the Eight-Point Algorithm
- Explanation: the scales of coefficients of F are very different. F_{11} , F_{12} , F_{21} and F_{22} are multiplied by $x_i x'_i$, $x_i y'_i$, $y_i x'_i$ and $y_i y'_i$, that can reach 10⁶. On the contrary, F_{13} , F_{23} , F_{31} and F_{32} are multiplied by x_i , y_i , x'_i and y'_i that are of order 10³. F_{33} is multiplied by 1.
- ► The scales being so different, A is badly conditioned.
- Solution: normalize points so that coordinates are of order 1.

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} 10^{-3} & & \\ & 10^{-3} & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{x}_i = Nx_i, \tilde{x'}_i = Nx'_i$$

• We find \tilde{F} for points $(\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{x'}_i)$ then $F = N^{\top} \tilde{F} N$

Computation of E

- E depends on 5 parameters (3 for R + 3 for T 1 for scale)
- A 3 × 3 matrix E is essential iff its singular values are 0 and two equal positive values. It can be written:

 $2EE^{\top}E - tr(EE^{\top})E = 0, \quad det E = 0$

- **5** point algorithm (Nistér, *PAMI*, 2004)
- We have Ae = 0, A of size 5×9 , we get a solution of the form

$$E = xX + yY + zZ + W$$

with X, Y, Z, W a basis of the kernel of A (SVD)

- The contraints yield 10 polynomial equations of degree 3 in x, y, z
- ▶ 1) Gauss pivot to eliminate terms of degree 2+ in x, y, then $B(z) \begin{pmatrix} x & y & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{\top} = 0$, that is det B(z) = 0, degree 10. 2) Gröbner bases. 3) $C(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & y & x^2 & xy & \dots & y^3 \end{pmatrix}^{\top} = 0$ and det C(z) = 0 (Li&Hartley, *ICPR*, 2006)

Some useful rules of vector calculus

Essential and fundamental matrices

Singular Value Decomposition

Computation of E and F

- How to solve a problem of parameter estimation in presence of outliers? This is the framework of robust estimation.
- Example: regression line of plane points (x_i, y_i) with for certain i bad data (not simply imprecise).
- Correct data are called inliers and incorrect outliers.
 Hypothesis: inliers are coherent while outliers are random.
- RANdom SAmple Consensus (Fishler&Bolles, Com. of the ACM 1981):
 - 1. Select k samples out of n, k being the minimal number to estimate uniquely a model.
 - 2. Compute model and count samples among *n* explained by model at precision σ .
 - 3. If this number is larger than the most coherent one until now, keep it.
 - 4. Back to 1 if we have iterations left.
- Example: k = 2 for a plane regression line.

RANSAC for fundamental matrix

Classify (x_i, x'_i) inlier/outlier as a function of the distance of x'_i to epipolar line associated to x_i (F[⊤]x_i):

$$d(x'_i, F^{\top}x_i) = \frac{|(F^{\top}x_i)_1 u'_i + (F^{\top}x_i)_2 v'_i + (F^{\top}x_i)_3|}{\sqrt{(F^{\top}x_i)_1^2 + (F^{\top}x_i)_2^2}}.$$

k = 7 is better, because we have fewer chances to select an outlier. In that case, we can have 3 models by sample. We test the 3 models.

RANSAC: number of iterations

- Suppose there are *m* inliers.
- The probability of having an uncontaminated sample of k inliers is (m/n)^k
- We require the probability that N_{iter} samples are bad to be lower than $\beta = 1\%$:

$$\left(1-(m/n)^k\right)^{N_{\text{iter}}}\leq \beta$$

Therefore we need

$$N_{\texttt{iter}} \geq \left\lceil rac{\log eta}{\log(1-(m/n)^k)}
ight
ceil.$$

- m is unknown, but a lower bound is the best number of inliers found so far.
- \blacktriangleright \Rightarrow recompute N_{iter} each time a better model is found.

Conclusion

Epipolar constraint:

- 1. Essential matrix E (calibrated case)
- 2. Fundamental matrix F (non calibrated case)
- ▶ *F* can be computed with the 7- or 8-point algorithm.
- Computation of E is much more complicated (5-point algorithm)
- Removing outliers through RANSAC algorithm.



Hartley & Zisserman (2004)

- Chapter 9: Epipolar Geometry and the Fundamental Matrix
- Chapter 11: Computation of the Fundamental Matrix F

David Nistér, PAMI 2004

An efficient solution to the five-point relative pose problem Li & Hartley, ICPR 2006 Five-point motion estimation made easy Appendix: QR algorithm for eigenvalue decomposition

Not to be confused with QR decomposition, but based on it. Let A a square matrix. Iterate until convergence:

- 1. Set $A_0 = A$.
- 2. Decompose $A_k = Q_k R_k$.
- 3. Set $A_{k+1} = R_k Q_k$.

 $(A_k)_k$ converges to a triangular matrix A_∞ , eigenvalues of A are read from the diagonal of A_∞ .

If A is symmetric, all A_k are also and so is A_{∞} . Hence, it is diagonal.

Proof that A_k and A are similar:

$$A_{k+1} = Q_k^\top Q_k R_k Q_k = Q_k^\top A_k Q_k.$$

Rotation parameterization (Quaternions)

Span(1, i, j, k) ⊂ C^{2×2} stable by multiplication as R-vector space

$$\mathbf{1} = l_2 \quad \mathbf{i} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{j} = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1$, ij = k, jk = i, ki = j. $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^4$ with, noting $M(q) = q_1 \mathbf{1} + q_j \mathbf{i} + q_j \mathbf{j} + q_k \mathbf{k}$,

$$q_3 = q_1q_2 \Leftrightarrow M(q_3) = M(q_1) M(q_2).$$

Noting
$$\bar{q} = (q_1, -q_i, -q_j, -q_k),$$

 $q \, \bar{q} = (||q||^2, 0, 0, 0) \Rightarrow q^{-1} = \bar{q}/||q||^2.$

 If ||q|| = 1, write c = cos(θ/2) = q₁, s = sin(θ/2) = ||(q_i, q_j, q_k)||. The rotation R around unit axis (x₀, y₀, z₀) of angle θ is represented by q = (c, sx₀, sy₀, sz₀).
 If p = (x, y, z) ∈ ℝ³, (0, Rp) = q(0, x, y, z) q⁻¹.

Practical session: RANSAC algorithm for F computation

Objective: Fundamental matrix computation with RANSAC algorithm.

- Get initial program from the website.
- ▶ Write the core of function ComputeF. Use RANSAC algorithm (update N_{iter} dynamically, but be careful of numerical problems with m/n small), based on 8-point algorithm. Solve the linear system estimating F from 8 matches. Do not forget normalization! Hint: it is easier to use SVD with a square matrix. For that, add the 9th equation $0^{\top}f = 0$.
- After RANSAC, refine resulting F with least square minimization based on all inliers.
- Write the core of displayEpipolar: when user clicks, find in which image (left or right). Display this point and show associated epipolar line in other image.