Piecewise-Planar 3D Reconstruction with Edge and Corner Regularization



Alexandre Boulch Martin de La Gorce Renaud Marlet

IMAGINE group, Université Paris-Est, LIGM, École Nationale des Ponts et Chaussées

SGP 2014

3D models of existing buildings:

- thermal or acoustic simulations
- light and shadow casting
- Building Information Models

Laser point clouds + semi-automatic surface reconstruction

- error prone
- time consuming
- expensive

## Objective

Automatic 3D surface reconstruction from point cloud

- watertight without self-intersection
- extends in a plausible manner in hidden regions
- piecewise planar





# Challenges

Two main challenges

- ubiquitous occlusions
- sampling anisotropy



- Smooth surfaces priors are inadequate
- Intersects only pairs of planes that are adjacent in range image
- Manhattan world assumption: too restrictive
- Watertight solutions not guaranteed
- Voxelization: biased, expensive
- Delaunay tetrahedralization: visible regions only

# [Chauve 2009]

#### Plane arrangement

- $\hfill\square$  Planes detected in the point cloud using region growing
- Hidden planes hypotheses (ghosts) guessed from the edges of detected polygons
- binary labelization of the 3D space
  - pairwise MRF (2nd order factors)
  - $\hfill\square$  solution with graph-cut
- Advantages
  - watertight solution
  - primitives can expand far beyond their visibility area
  - allows the use of hidden planes hypotheses
  - sharp surface reconstruction

[Chauve et al. 2009]

Limitations:

- anisotropy of laser point clouds is a problem
- missing plane hypotheses
- surface area minimization creates holes and cutted corners





### Surface area vs Edges length vs Corners count



#### Contributions

- treatment of sampling anisotropy
- better and new plane hypotheses
- higher-order regularization:
  - length of edges (4th order factors)
  - number of corners (8th order factors)
- globally near optimal solutions using LP relaxation



- laser measures
- planes detection





- laser measures
- planes detection
- region polygonization and Ghosts creation



- laser measures
- planes detection
- region polygonization and Ghosts creation
- volume partition using a plane arrangement



- laser measures
- planes detection
- region polygonization and Ghosts creation
- volume partition using a plane arrangement
- LP Binary labelization



- laser measures
- planes detection
- region polygonization and Ghosts creation
- volume partition using a plane arrangement
- LP Binary labelization
- surface extraction



## Plan detection

Region growing approach.

- compute point normals with a method that preserves sharp features [Boulch et al. 2012]
- locally planar region as seeds
- grow region from seeds
- keep plane equations updated using online least-square fitting



## Plan detection

plane fusion to recover from over-segmentation using robust statistical criteria [Boulch et al. 2014]



## Polygonization

Extraction of the the boundary pixel chain





- Extraction of the the boundary pixel chain
- Polygon simplification by greedy merging of adjacent edges, keeping maximum distance to the original polygon below 2 pixels (aliasing)



# Polygonization



# Orthogonal ghosts

We generate an orthogonal half-plane for each polygon edge



# Orthogonal ghosts



# Parallel ghosts

thin objects (tables, screens etc.)

- not enough points on the side
- find largest valid thickness



# Parallel ghosts

thin objects (tables, screens etc.)

- not enough points on the side
- find largest valid thickness
- create parallel ghost



## Surface reconstruction

Once we have all the plane candidates ,

- partition the volume with a plane arrangement
- label each cell as empty or full



$$x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N) \in \{0, 1\}^N$$

. .

$$E(x) = E_{data}(x) + E_{regul}(x)$$

labelization through minimization of a sum of terms

data terms

$$E_{data}(x) = E_{prim}(x) + E_{vis}(x)$$

the regularization terms

$$E_{
m regul}({\sf x}) = E_{
m area}({\sf x}) + E_{
m edge}({\sf x}) + E_{
m corner}({\sf x})$$

allows to cope with noisy measurementallows completion in hidden regions

- cells in front of labeled points should be empty
- cells just behind the points should be full

$$E_{\mathsf{prim}}(\mathsf{x}) = \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}} w_{\rho}^{\mathsf{aniso}} \left( x_{\rho}^{+} + (1 - x_{\rho}^{-}) \right) \tag{1}$$



Facets on the surface should not intersect rays

$$E_{\text{vis}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}, \ f \in \mathcal{F} \\ \omega \boldsymbol{p} \cap f \neq \emptyset}} w_{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\text{aniso}} |x_{f^+} - x_{f^-}|$$
(2)

![](_page_28_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Data term are not enough to label all cells

![](_page_29_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Surface area regularization

the total area of the surface is

$$E_{\text{area}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} w_f \left| x_{f^+} - x_{f^-} \right| \tag{3}$$

with

$$w_f = a_f / \sigma^2$$

where  $\sigma$  is a scale parameter and  $a_f$  the area of the facet

![](_page_30_Figure_6.jpeg)

Data term + Area term

Area term does not fill large gaps

![](_page_31_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Edge length regularization

existence of an edge as a linear function of the adjacent cell binary values:

$$h_e(x) = x_a - x_b - x_c + x_d$$

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

The total edge length of the surface is penalized in the optimized energy using

$$E_{\text{edge}}(\mathsf{x}) = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}} w_e \left| h_e(\mathsf{x}) \right| \tag{4}$$

With

$$w_e = \frac{l_e}{\sigma} \ w_{\text{ang}}(\alpha_e) \tag{5}$$

with  $\sigma$  the scale parameter and  $w_{ang}(\alpha_e)$  a function of the angle between the two planes

#### Corners count regularization

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Corners count regularization

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

We penalize the number of corner in the reconstructed surface by adding to he minimized energy the term

$$E_{\text{corner}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} w_{\mathbf{v}} \left| h_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{x}) \right| \tag{6}$$

 $W_{\nu}$  depends on the three angles between each pair of plane:

$$w_{\nu} = w_{\text{ang}}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \tag{7}$$

The corner count terms correspond to potentials of order up to 8 in the context of MRFs

- 8th order potential are challenging for MRF minimization methods.
  - Tree-reweighted Belief Propagation, extremely slow to converge
  - $\hfill\square$  Lazy Flipper : local minimum, extremely suboptimal
- We formulate the labeling problem as a Mixed-integer programming problem

## Optimization

The total minimized energy can be written as

$$E(x) = \zeta + \sum_{i} w_i |H_i . x|$$

with  $\zeta$  a constant and each  $H_i$  is a sparse vector using an auxiliary variable  $y_i$ , each term can be formulated as linear term with additional constraints

$$w_i|H_i \cdot x| = \min_{y_i} w_i y_i \quad s.t. \quad -y_i \le H_i \cdot x \le y_i \tag{8}$$

thus we aim to solve the integer program

$$min_{x,y} \sum_{i} w_{i}y_{i} \quad s.t. \ x \in \{0,1\}^{N}, \forall i: -y_{i} \leq H_{i}.x \leq y_{i}$$
 (9)

#### Optimization

we aim to solve the integer program

$$\min_{x,y} \sum_{i} w_{i}y_{i} \quad s.t. \quad x \in \{0,1\}^{N}, \forall i: -y_{i} \leq H_{i}.x \leq y_{i}$$
(10)

we relaxe the integer constraint  $x \in \{0,1\}^N$  to the box constraint  $x \in [0,1]^N$ :

$$\min_{x,y} \sum_{i} w_i y_i \quad s.t. \quad x \in [0,1]^N, \forall i: -y_i \le H_i \, . \, x \le y_i$$
(11)

This is a standard Linear Program, We solve it using the dual simplex in the commercial Mosek<sup>®</sup> solver. After rounding to solution to integers we obtained an increase of energy not greater than 8%.

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_2.jpeg)

chauve & al

![](_page_40_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_5.jpeg)

corners only

edges only

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_2.jpeg)

corners

edge+corners

![](_page_43_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_3.jpeg)

reconstruction

![](_page_43_Picture_5.jpeg)

point cloud

- allows plausible completion in hidden regions
- handles anisotropy
- edge and corner regularization superior to area term for completion
- near-optimal global solution using efficient LP relaxation

Futur work

- photogrammetry
- better scalability to large scenes

This work was partly supported by Bouygues Construction interested in automatic BIM generation from existing buildings

emails:

- martin.de-la-gorce@enpc.fr
- alexandre.boulch@enpc.fr
- renaud.marlet@enpc.fr
- IMAGINE team website:
  - imagine.enpc.fr

![](_page_46_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Polygonization

The polygones have curvy edges in the image coordinate system. we compute the distance of a point to a curvy segment using geodesqic projection in the the sphere

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

The surface should pass near observed points:

![](_page_48_Figure_2.jpeg)

The surface should not intersect any segment joining the scanner center and the observed points

![](_page_49_Figure_2.jpeg)

We penalize full cell just in front of a point and empty cell just behind a point

$$E_{\text{prim}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}} w_{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\text{aniso}}(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{p}}) \left( x_{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\sigma+} + (1 - x_{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\sigma-}) \right)$$
(12)

We use a penalization weight that take anisotropy into account

$$w_{\rho}^{\text{aniso}}(P) = \frac{d^2}{\sigma^2} \Delta_{\theta} \Delta_{\phi} \frac{\sin \phi}{\cos \psi}$$
(13)

with  $\theta$  the azimuth angle,  $\phi$  the polar angle ,  $\Delta_{\theta}$  and  $\Delta_{\phi}$  the two steps of the scan.

We penalize the use of facets that intersect the segments joining the laser center and the observed points

$$E_{\text{vis}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P}, f \in \mathcal{F} \\ \omega p \cap f \neq \emptyset, \ d(p, P_f) \le \sigma}} w_p^{\text{aniso}}(P_f) |x_{f^+} - x_{f^-}|$$
(14)

![](_page_51_Picture_3.jpeg)

We penalize the number of corner in the reconstructed surface by adding to he minimized energy the term

$$E_{\text{corner}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} w_{\mathbf{v}} \left| h_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{x}) \right|$$
(15)

 $W_{\nu}$  depends on the three angles between each pair of plane:

$$w_{v} = w_{ang}(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}) = A + (1 - A) \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i \in \{1, 2, 3\}} (\alpha_{i} - \pi/2)^{2}}{2\rho^{2}}\right)$$
(16)

The corner count terms correspond to potentials of order up to 8 in the context of MRFs